

Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
18/03/2014 24/03/2014				1	Regular users of The Grove on foot and by car. Scheme will have a knock on effect on adjacent roads esp Ballards Lane which is congested at peak hours. Congestion on the road is only for about half an hour in the morning rush hour. The only time road is blocked temporarily in the morning peak is when the recycling lorry comes at rush hour.	Suggestion: in order to reduce road congestion at peak times would be to eliminate parking from one side of the road (eg could be with a single yellow line so parking can still happen in the less busy times of day). More parking spaces could be added to the side where parking is allowed. This would not lead to cars speeding through since the road is still narrow and windy, but it would reduce the congestion at peak times.
24/03/2014		1			I thoroughly agree with implementing the one way traffic scheme as traffic uses WENTWORTH PARK AND WENTWORTH AVENUE constantly as a 'rat – run' and some mornings the traffic is so bad that I cannot get my car out the garage due to the sheer volume of traffic. They use these side roads to avoid the congestion on Ballards Lane and end up clogging all the side streets.	
24/03/2014				1	Making the Grove a one way street will seriously impact on our travel time as it will force us to use Ballards Lane instead, which is normally very congested in peak hours. I appreciate that there is a significant issue with speeding up and down the Grove, Grove Avenue and Wentworth Avenue and that the Grove is often deadlocked with traffic moving in both directions.	<p>However, there are multiple steps that could be taken to address this:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Widening the roadway by removing residents parking bays on the Grove on one side of the road</li> <li>2. Adding speed bumps</li> <li>3. Making this thoroughfare a 20 mile an hour zone supported by speed cameras</li> </ol> <p>All of these should be attempted first before changing the area to a one way system.</p>
24/03/2014				1	I would be against the one way system on the grove as it causes disruption to my commute to work and back. I am not sure I understand the reason why it requires a one way system to be honest.	
24/03/2014		1			As residents a few houses north of the proposed mini-roundabout, we were looking forward to the experiment, which seems a very good idea. We shall be most disappointed if you fail to go ahead with it.	
25/03/2014		1			Provided the proposed scheme does not increase/add to the problems faced by Cornwall Avenue as outlined above, my partner and I would have no objections to the scheme.	<p>Cornwall Avenue has been used more and more by traffic as a 'rat run' and consequently particularly, but not only, during peak travelling times, Cornwall Avenue becomes very busy and often dangerous, owing to the high speeds at which some vehicles travel. Parking is limited and is used by surrounding workers as well as residents, both sides of the street are in continuous use by parked vehicles, apart from the period between 2pm and 3pm when said workers move their vehicles to avoid parking fines. Narrow road, vehicles increase their speed, so they pass through quickly before a vehicle coming in the opposite direction tries to do the same.</p>
24/03/2014				1	Cause even greater congestion behind Tesco. a roundabout would be dangerous as it would be forcing vehicles to go above brakes depth through a major puddle on the nursery corner.	putting a speed restriction on Wentworth & Grove Ave to 20mph would do that more simply (and would hopefully stop the fast movers from bothering to come this way)

Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
24/03/2014		1			The Grove is primarily used as a cut through to avoid traffic along Nether Street, and cars therefore use Wentworth Ave, Grove Avenue and The Grove as a way of avoiding that road, and rejoining it as it rises up to meet Ballards Lane. By creating a one-way system along the lower part of The Grove, I believe traffic will continue to use Wentworth and Grove Avenues and simply turn left up The Grove and use Popes Drive and Albert Place behind Tesco to achieve the same effect that they are doing now – or worse, continue up The Grove and turn right onto Ballards Lane, ignoring the No Right Turn sign (this is already a frequent occurrence).	Need to reconsider exactly where traffic seeking to leave Nether Street needs to be rediverted to. If join onto Ballards Lane, a more suitable route needs to be found. Pope's Drive - illegal parking. Some more thought being given to how traffic currently going along Wentworth and Grove Avenues should be diverted to reach Ballards Lane. Careful monitoring of the impact on the junction between The Grove and Ballards Lane (to see if the incidence of the No Right Turn being ignored increases) and also on Popes Drive/Albert Place, where I think the impact of the scheme as it stands will be most severe.
25/03/2014		1			This experiment has already been carried out once. Although a very good idea, the problem is that the commuters will use it as rat run and with no traffic coming the other way they speed up, which is what happened last time, with children going to Moss Hall, it might cause a child fatality.	But if the traffic was one way going from south to north in the mornings and then in the evenings it was one way north to south than it would not be used as a rat-run, and be safe for children and residents of the area, who should be priority not the DRIVERS. I say this as a Driver and Resident.  If the above idea is too complicated then there should be a very good traffic speed restrictions. CUT SPEED DOWN TO 20 MPH. with enforcement cameras every 500 yards. or better still make it an average speed cameras.
25/03/2014		1			Fast vehicle speed on The Grove, witness fights and the screeching of brakes as cars hurtle towards each other.	Address the "radius left turn" into and out of Nether Street at the junction of Ballards Lane and Regents Park Road. At this junction you should have a pedestrian crossing light which stays on Green unless the WAIT button is depressed. You should reinstate the double white line and the Give Way sign as it is this red stop light (when there are NO pedestrians) which unnecessarily holds up traffic. This will be effectively like the American system of turning red on a right. The stop light for both of these left turns is ridiculous as traffic is held up along Regents Park Road and Ballards Lane unnecessarily.

Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
25/03/2014 7/04/2014				1	<p>The resulting scheme benefits only those residents, and actively makes the traffic situation worse for residents at our end of The Grove, and most likely also those in Falkland and Cornwall Avenue, who will have to take the additional traffic flow barred from the new one way section.</p> <p>Ensure the parameters of this consultation cover the overall traffic situation and an appropriate solution for all - rather than an attempt to push through the scheme proposed, even on an experimental basis.</p> <p>The increased two-way traffic flow in our already busy section of The Grove as a result of the scheme as proposed, and the difficulties and dangers that heightens for residents, in terms of getting in &amp; out of our own driveways, and the safety of pedestrians, including our children, crossing the road.</p> <p>The overcrowding of Albert Place in the section up to Nether Street, again worsened by the proposed plan which forces all southbound traffic down that road - the issues with drivers not observing lane protocol at the Albert Place junction with Nether Street, and the narrowing caused by illegal parking on yellow lines, which r</p> <p>The increased problems this scheme will cause with articu</p> <p>A regular occurrence for Grove North residents: a Tesco delivery truck queuing in the mouth of Pope's Drive effectively blocking half the road. I only wish I had a camera with me two days before, when as well as a truck parked at this point, there was a second truck queuing in The Grove between Pope's Drive and Ballards Lane.</p>	<p>A solution to the abuse of the no-right turn at the junction of The Grove &amp; Ballards Lane, which is dangerous as well as illegal, and will be substantially worsened given any increased traffic flow in this part of the Grove.</p> <p>The use of the mouth of Pope's Drive as a place for drivers to do U-turns, despite it being one way - something else that will be far more problematic and dangerous with the increased traffic flow, if the scheme proposed is implemented.</p>
25/03/2014 6/4/2014				1	<p>Echo the above response (Mr. Paul Leiws)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There are already plenty of rat runners using the upper part of The Grove and yet the scheme only addresses those using the lower end of the road</li> <li>- There is inadequate parking at our end of the road and taking away two of the existing spaces is not acceptable</li> <li>- The plans showing the mini roundabout do not take into account that the nursery is ON the corner and not further along - or that it has a forecourt for parents to park in order to drop off and pick up their children - a mini roundabout here would make that impossible.</li> </ul> <p>If there has to be something done and a one way system put in place on an experimental basis we ask that</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) no parking spaces are removed</li> <li>b) that it runs N to S thus allowing cars to travel to Nether Street from Grove Avenue (and thereby not forcing cars up the north end of The Grove). This would still allow one way traffic and alleviate bottle necks but would mean that rat runners could only use this as a short cut in the mornings and not in the evenings - the original proposal would allow the opposite flow but would still allow people to short cut along the road at will yet would cause severe detriment to all other roads in the vicinity.</li> </ul>	<p>The no right turn signs at the junction of The Grove (North) and Ballards Lane are ignored countless times a day - it is only a matter of time until there is a fatality. We see near misses every single day caused by people who wilfully ignore the signs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- People use Pope's Drive (junction with The Grove) to do u-turns and drive back into The Grove despite the fact that Pope's Drive is one way. Again there are near misses every day and again no action is ever taken by the authorities.</li> </ul>

Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
26/03/2014				1	<p>if the one way scheme comes into operation, there will be even heavier traffic on Ballards Lane, which is currently already heavily congested, Regents Park Road, The Grove, Nether Street and the surrounding streets.</p> <p>I hope you will abandon this experimental scheme for the good people of Finchley Central, who already have to live with heavily congested narrow roads everyday.</p>	
25/03/2014		1			<p>I'm in favour of the proposed scheme.</p> <p>The scheme will cause some inconvenience as travelling south I'll have to get out across busy traffic onto Ballards Lane and will no longer be able to drive down The Grove to Nether Street.</p> <p>The Grove is currently narrow and dangerous with traffic allowed in both directions and often driving faster than is safe. It's unsafe for pedestrians to cross for most of its length as the bends and parked cars on both sides make it hard for all road users to see each other.</p> <p>also cut down on rat-running.</p>	<p>One similar alternative would be to keep it two-way BUT still close the Grove Ave end as currently proposed.</p> <p>This would still reduce the amount of traffic, stop rat-running, but still allow the residents on this stretch to exit onto Nether Street.</p>
26/03/2014				1	<p>Firstly, we would prefer to do nothing other than install a mini-roundabout/traffic calming at the junction of The Grove/Grove Avenue where most of the accidents have taken place over the past 4 decades.</p> <p>The S/N proposal would force all of the traffic from Grove Avenue to turn left into The Grove north.</p> <p>The junction of The Grove/Grove Avenue is already a congested and dangerous. This is where parents using the nursery pick up/drop off and walk with children. Any increase in traffic flows is a serious increase in risk.</p> <p>Much congestion would result at the entrance to Pope's Drive, the road alongside Tesco and in Albert Place, a small lane and would soon fill up at rush hours. The entrance to Pope's Drive is used by Tesco lorries and customers; added volume from The Grove would increase congestion, where drivers often make U-turns.</p> <p>It would increase the number of drivers tempted to turn right from The Grove into Ballards Lane, an illegal turn.</p>	<p>There is no need to remove these two parking spaces outside no.17 (the dentist) as it is irrelevant to the traffic flows. It is already difficult to find a space to park in The Grove north.</p> <p>Introduce the one-way system in the other direction, North to South.</p>

Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
27/03/2014 5/4/2014		1			<p>The traffic should be able to turn right instead of the existing left turn only into Ballard's Lane, from The Grove, perhaps via another mini roundabout.</p> <p>This is because all the traffic coming down Grove Avenue wanting to get into either Nether Street or Regents Park Road, has to turn left at the new proposed roundabout &amp; then turn right into Popes Drive, past Tesco's to access Nether street.</p> <p>Congestion will built up together with the additional traffic exiting from Tesco car park.</p> <p>However I think it would be a lot more simpler &amp; less of a traffic congestion problem, if the traffic went ONE WAY ALONG The Grove from Grove Avenue to Nether Street, having no need for the roundabout.</p>	
27/03/2014		1			<p>If The Grove is made one-way it would divert the traffic for Moss Hall School on to Nether Street which is already congested during peak time. We appreciate that The Grove is prone to accidents with traffic in both directions and cars parked on both sides and a possible solution could be to allow cars to be parked on one side only.</p>	
27/03/2014			1		<p>Concerned that all the traffic that arrives at the one-way system at the proposed new round-about would simply turn left up towards Tesco and zoom round the back of Tesco to reach Nether Street. At the back of Tesco is a day nursery. How would the parents of children attending the day nursery get their children across to the day nursery at the peak hour of 9 a.m? It would be immensely dangerous. There is a pedestrian crossing, but cars come round at speed and when the crossing stops traffic then cars will come down out of the Tesco car park.</p> <p>also against round-about at T junctions. They are totally unnecessary and are very dangerous indeed as no-one seems to know who has the right of way. Cars travelling along a straight road are think they have right way. They do not see a car approaching wanting to turn to the right across their path. A typical place where accidents are likely is by the Moss Hall School. I saw a near accident there at that round-about when a car wanted to turn right and a car proceeding straight along Nether Street simply did not stop – I strongly suspect because the driver had not seen the new round-about there.</p>	<p>A one-way system might be better if it went the other way in The Grove and traffic was stopped coming off of Nether Street.</p> <p>Car would then simply turn left at the traffic lights and proceed down the nearest left hand turning if they wished to get into The Grove, or Grove Avenue.</p>

Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
27/03/2014		1			<p>I totally support the idea of access only from Nether Street. If anyone needs to approach The Grove from Nether Street they can thus avoiding extra traffic currently being syphoned towards Ballards Lane. That section of The Grove is very hard to negotiate if vehicles are coming the other way and at least once a week I witness the road being blocked.</p> <p>However as I drive through and around The Grove at least twice a day I can advise you that there is a large volume of traffic that currently heads south down this section of The Grove and I can't see where else this traffic will go to apart from Albert Place. Currently it takes 5 to 10 minutes to get into my car park just from Tesco's which will only get worse so where were you planning for all these cars to go to ?</p>	Barnet has closed off Crescent Road and Gordon Road over the years which has caused increased congestion on Nether Street so the traffic in the above Para will only add to this. Maybe you should consider re-opening Gordon Road as another experimental one-way scheme(south bound only) to ease further congestion on Nether Street ?
31/03/2014				1	<p>I believe that any one way system would negatively affect me and other local residents all day every day of the year. It would also be adding to traffic on the already congested surrounding roads eg Nether Street.</p> <p>Proposed one way traffic system will be inconvenient to the residents of Swift Court. This will be inconvenient as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is a large detour if you need to go towards Nether Street</li> <li>2. We will need to sit in traffic queues in The Grove where it meets Ballards Lane as it is going to be congested due to the diverted traffic</li> <li>3. We will not be able to turn right on to Ballard's Lane unless the no right turn restriction is lifted. Even if the no right turn restriction is lifted, we will need a new traffic light system there to stop on coming traffic from the left which is continuous and only stopped periodically by the pedestrian crossing traffic lights. The alternative is that we would need to turn right in to Popes Drive which is likely to be more congested due to diverted traffic.</li> </ol>	<p>I believe a solution would be to allow ONLY the residents of The Grove to travel in both directions down The Grove. We could then exit The Grove onto Nether Street or onto Grove Avenue/ Ballards Lane as needed without taking unnecessary detours or getting held up in traffic where The Grove meets Ballards Lane or down Popes Drive.</p> <p>The no entry with a proposed roundabout at the junction of Grove Avenue/ The Grove could still remain so that non- residents cannot enter The Grove here. Traffic could still enter The Grove via Nether Street as proposed so that it remains one way for non-residents.</p>
31/03/2014		1			We would welcome a change to the current flow of traffic up and down our part of the Grove. It is sometimes a nightmare because of the many parked cars and people driving too fast both ways .	



Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
29/03/2014				1	<p>I believe that any one way system would negatively affect me and other local residents all day every day of the year. It would also be adding to traffic on the already congested surrounding roads eg Nether Street.</p> <p>Proposed one way traffic system will be inconvenient to the residents of Swift Court. This will be inconvenient as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is a large detour if you need to go towards Nether Street</li> <li>2. We will need to sit in traffic queues in The Grove where it meets Ballards Lane as it is going to be congested due to the diverted traffic</li> <li>3. We will not be able to turn right on to Ballard's Lane unless the no right turn restriction is lifted. Even if the no right turn restriction is lifted, we will need a new traffic light system there to stop on coming traffic from the left which is continuous and only stopped periodically by the pedestrian crossing traffic lights. The alternative is that we would need to turn right in to Popes Drive which is likely to be more congested due to diverted traffic.</li> </ol>	<p>I believe a solution would be to allow ONLY the residents of The Grove to travel in both directions down The Grove. We could then exit The Grove onto Nether Street or onto Grove Avenue/ Ballards Lane as needed without taking unnecessary detours or getting held up in traffic where The Grove meets Ballards Lane or down Popes Drive.</p> <p>The no entry with a proposed roundabout at the junction of Grove Avenue/ The Grove could still remain so that non- residents cannot enter The Grove here. Traffic could still enter The Grove via Nether Street as proposed so that it remains one way for non-residents.</p>
29/03/2014				1	<p>I believe that any one way system would negatively affect me and other local residents all day every day of the year. It would also be adding to traffic on the already congested surrounding roads eg Nether Street.</p> <p>Proposed one way traffic system will be inconvenient to the residents of Swift Court. This will be inconvenient as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is a large detour if you need to go towards Nether Street</li> <li>2. We will need to sit in traffic queues in The Grove where it meets Ballards Lane as it is going to be congested due to the diverted traffic</li> <li>3. We will not be able to turn right on to Ballard's Lane unless the no right turn restriction is lifted. Even if the no right turn restriction is lifted, we will need a new traffic light system there to stop on coming traffic from the left which is continuous and only stopped periodically by the pedestrian crossing traffic lights. The alternative is that we would need to turn right in to Popes Drive which is likely to be more congested due to diverted traffic.</li> </ol>	<p>I believe a solution would be to allow ONLY the residents of The Grove to travel in both directions down The Grove. We could then exit The Grove onto Nether Street or onto Grove Avenue/ Ballards Lane as needed without taking unnecessary detours or getting held up in traffic where The Grove meets Ballards Lane or down Popes Drive.</p> <p>The no entry with a proposed roundabout at the junction of Grove Avenue/ The Grove could still remain so that non- residents cannot enter The Grove here. Traffic could still enter The Grove via Nether Street as proposed so that it remains one way for non-residents.</p>
28/03/2014		1			<p>First we would like to state that we are strongly in favour of a six month trial of a one way system for The Grove. We are part of the original group which organised the petition of residents in The Grove. This petition drew attention to Barnet Council that the traffic situation in this street was dire...it was used as a cut through, cars sped along the road but there were also long queues at rush hour with drivers becoming irate and even fighting.</p>	

Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
28/03/2014				1	As I am sure you are aware The Grove is a two lane road but is severely restricted in width because of vehicles parked on both sides of the road. The width restriction means that there is not sufficient space for two cars (or even a car and a bicycle) travelling in opposite directions to pass. Vehicles have to stop in the few places where there is sufficient space for two vehicles to pass. This causes serious congestion. Creating a one way system would alleviate this problem.	There is a serious risk to pedestrians walking down Nether Street and crossing the Grove. This is particularly dangerous for young children walking to school in the mornings as there is a long queue of vehicles on Nether Street and a Long queue of vehicles on the Grove due to the traffic lights at Finchley Central and the Congested traffic on Ballards Lane/Regents Park Road. Vehicles turning into the Grove from Nether Street cannot easily see pedestrians crossing the Grove and pedestrians crossing the Grove cannot easily see vehicles turning. When a gap occurs in the line of traffic on Nether Street any vehicle wanting to turn into the Grove takes advantage of this and may not be able to see pedestrians crossing the Grove. Result - fast accelerating vehicle hits pedestrian. The proposal to make the Grove one way for vehicles turning into the Grove from Nether Street does not address this danger. A box junction at the Grove Nether Street junction would also not address this danger.
27/03/2014		1			<p>I strongly favour the proposed one-way scheme on an experimental basis, with a further consultation taking place one year later.</p> <p>There is frequently traffic congestion occurring, even at non-rush hour times, owing to the narrowness and high volume of cars parked on the part of The Grove that nears Nether street. This results in cars having to 'back up', and as sometimes both drivers are not willing to back up, there can be confrontational scenes. (I have witnessed 3 such scenes myself). The proposed mini roundabout in the middle of the road is also a great idea.</p>	
31/03/2014		1			<p>I have no problem with making that section of The Grove one way, in fact I think its a good idea as it may discourage using our road as a rat run</p>	<p>Cars use Wentworth Avenue as a 'rat run" to avoid traffic jams on Ballards Lane at peak times. I suppose that this is unavoidable but the speed that they travel along Wentworth Avenue is uncomfortably close, and sometimes higher than, 30 miles an hour and therefore feels inappropriate for a primarily residential road - provide traffice calmin and reduction measures.</p> <p><u>Nether Street between Essex Park and Moss Hall Grove</u></p> <p>- This section of pavement is far too narrow for the amount of pedestrian traffic on it at peak times (school drop off and pick up), particularly given that as it is so straight cars travel along this section at 30 mph or more. Kids are encouraged to walk/scoot to school but in order that parents can feel this is safe, the pavement must feel like a safe place to be. No railing beside the section next to the school playing field - dangerous for children. - provide railings and widen pavement.</p> <p><u>Wentworth Avenue / Essex Park junction</u> - Cars that are waiting for Junior school pupils regularly park on the double yellow lines around this junction. Cars who are us</p>



Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
31/03/2014				1	We would like to inform you that we strongly feel the 'one-way' proposal should not go ahead. In the morning during rush hour the one way road will create traffic to build up on The Grove and Grove Avenue. This will cause further traffic to build up on Popes Drive – Albert Place leading to a situation that will be beyond control.	To help reduce the amount of traffic on our roads, we will benefit from more roads, wider roads and more control on parking on narrow roads in this area to ease the flow of traffic. We recommend that you paint double Yellow line on Nether Street so traffic can flow easily and to open Gordon Road to allow the flow the traffic. A lot of traffic currently builds up in the morning on the small roundabout on Nether Street.
02/04/2014		1			please go ahead with the trial one-way system on The Grove. We've had our personal cars damaged on so many occasions. We also suffer when people refuse to give way to allow us access to our own drive way.	
01/04/2014		1			have one concern that this experiment might lead to an increase in traffic speed beyond legal limit in one way part of the Grove therefore car speeds should be monitored during the experiment, or speed limit should be reduced to a 20MPH limit. Otherwise we fully support your suggestions for this experimental one way traffic scheme.	
02/04/2014		1			I would like to confirm that we are totally supporting the six month trial of a one way system for The Grove and that we very much agree with what our neighbours Steve & Mary Isaacs point of view. We moved to The Grove exactly a year ago, myself, my husband and our son of 6yrs old. From the very first days I noticed that the street traffic was particularly heavy, especially in the morning and afternoon rush hours. Drivers were also speeding through our street in order to, as I found out later, to cut through and avoid the traffic light of the main street. As a result, this traffic makes our street at the least noisy and seriously extremely dangerous.	
02/04/2014				1	Is it intended to retain the “No Right Turn” into Ballards Lane at the top of The Grove? If so, there will be drivers (from the north) arriving (via Grove Avenue) at the new “No Entry” sign in the western arm of The Grove who, being obliged to turn left into the eastern arm of The Grove, will then be involved in a loop of left turns - unless they know and take the circuitous route round the back of Tesco's! The scheme might well reduce traffic in the western (proposed one-way) part of The Grove but would INCREASE traffic in the eastern arm of The Grove. Thus residents of the western arm would be advantaged to the great detriment of residents of the eastern arm and also of residents of Falkland Avenue and Cornwall Avenue. This might be alleviated by removing the No-Right-Turn at the top of The Grove (into Ballards Lane) - but was this not requested originally by local residents? If the scheme is implemented it should include the removal of this No-Right-Turn into Ballards Lane (often ignored anyway, I think)	

Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
03/04/2014				1	<p>We do not support the proposal for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Our section of the road (Grove North) already experiences high volumes of traffic and the proposed experiment will generate additional volumes (as derived from your June 2013 report) and creates further safety risks for residents and visitors.</li> <li>We believe there will be other knock-on effects that will create further disruption and dis-harmony on Grove North (increased congestion at the Albert Place/Nether street junction, increased congestion at The Grove/Ballards Land Junction (and further illegal right-hand turns and increased congestion at the entrance to Popes Drive)</li> <li>The removal of the parking spaces outside number 17 The Grove will make it harder for families to park (during pick-up/drop off at the nursery) and also increases the likelihood of visitors to park across resident's driveways at other times.</li> <li>A one-way system in the Grove South will increase the speed of the traffic making an accident more likely and more dangerous for pedestrians</li> </ul>	
05/04/2014				1	<p>definitely DO NOT want The Grove to become a one way road especially as per the suggestion in both letters dated 11.02.14 and 24.03.14.</p>	<p>I would like to suggest a better solution would be to remove permit parking bays which are on The Grove which is a blind corner and were the traffic blockage starts outside houses number 47 &amp; 45 and also remove the bay outside number 41 The Grove. These could be replaced by additional bays outside number 27 The Grove ( next to my house 29).</p> <p>I would like you to increase the parking bay outside 23C and also number 23B as it finishes too far away from their drop down curb and the bay is too small for 2 cars because of this and would not obstruct the view of a driver coming out of these properties as the parking bays are far to short of the entrance to their drive ways. This would stop cars speeding along the curve of the road and would be a simple way to improve the traffic flow.</p>

Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
07/04/2014				1	<p>I do not support the proposal of a one-way system from Nether Street along The Grove as I believe it would create congestion problems in roads in the vicinity. I also believe it would result in an increase in traffic speed along The Grove which would put residents and pedestrians at risk. I would prefer things to be left as they are at present. However, if an experimental one-way system was to be introduced, I believe it should flow in the opposite direction to the one proposed i.e. south from The Grove/Grove Avenue to Nether Street.</p> <p>Traffic avoid the traffic signals and use The Grove and travel at fast speed along the first section of The Grove where parking bays are initially only present on one side, making it extremely dangerous for other residents and myself to pull out of our drives.</p> <p>As there is no right turn at the top of The Grove north, cars wishing to join Nether Street and no longer able to do so via The Grove will have to use Popes Drive, which is of course used by Tesco delivery lorries and Tesco customers and there are often long delays due to congesti</p>	
05/04/2014				1	<p>The scheme will just move traffic on to other already congested roads by effectively blocking an existing route. By preventing traffic to pass through The Grove to Nether Street will increase the abuse of the No Right Turn at the junction of The Grove and Ballards Lane which already happens quite regularly.</p> <p>In the morning the traffic on Ballards Lane is often hugely congested at the traffic lights by Finchley Central tube. Blocking the route to Nether Street from The Grove will only serve to push more traffic via Ballards Lane or Pope's Drive/Albert Place.</p>	
05/04/2014		1			<p>As a Grove resident of 30+ years, I have seen our road change from a relatively quiet street to a mad house. It is now used as a very narrow cut-through and the drivers using it are bad tempered and reckless. We have daily problems of bad driving, impatience and downright madness. To change to a one-way system would alleviate the chaos.</p>	

Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
05/04/2014				1	<p>The one way system will have the impact of increasing the speed of cars coming up the one way road in The Grove - this results in more danger to cars and pedestrians in that section of the road.</p> <p>The one way system will increase traffic flow in the northern part of The Grove, as all cars will now have to turn left into The Grove from Grove Ave. This will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increase congestion at the junction of The Grove and Grove Ave (where a Nursery School exists)</li> <li>- Increased risk to pedestrians on The Grove north as a result of increased traffic</li> <li>- Increased traffic turning into Popes Drive to get out of the neighbourhood - resulting in increased danger the shoppers of the Tesco Superstore crossing the road to the car park</li> <li>- Increased congestion at the Albert Place/Nether Street junction which is already a very busy and difficult intersection to pass.</li> <li>- Increased congestion at The Grove/Ballards Lane junction - where right turns are illegal.</li> </ul> <p>I believe the one way system should be north to south, and not south to north. This would much better serve the needs of the community.</p>	
04/04/2014		1			<p>I am all for the road being one way. The cars go far too fast both ways, my children are at the stage where they are starting to walk out by themselves and I am not happy when they cross the road.</p> <p>Drivers going from Finchley to West Finchley/ Woodside Park use it as a cut through and the road is not wide enough for cars parked both sides and two way traffic, plus it creates a lot of buildup.</p> <p>If you go ahead with the one way system, you must let drivers turn right on to Ballards Lane.(corner where Santander used to be, now empty shop) If they cannot turn right on to Ballards Lane, the build up in rush hour in Popes Drive will be even worse than it is now.</p>	
04/04/2014		1			We support the experimental scheme which should be implemented without delay	
04/04/2014		1			having received the plans, were very happy with the 'one-way' proposal. It was re-assuring that this action had been decided upon by the Council having carried out several surveys of the road and concluding that this section of The Grove is no longer fit for two-way traffic.	
04/04/2014				1	<p>Objection to the proposals.</p> <p>Increased congesteion at The Grove / Grove Avenue;</p> <p>Increased risk to pedestrians because of the incresed traffic in The Grove North;</p> <p>Increased congetstion at the entrance to Pope's Drive;</p> <p>Increased congestion at Albert Place / Nether Street junction</p> <p>Increase congestion at The Grove / Ballards Lane junction;</p> <p>One driveway will be on roundaboit side and one will be on 'one-way' side.</p>	

Date In	Original CCU / Email	In Favour	Objecting	Other	Comments	Other comments outside proposals
04/04/2014				1	We would like to inform you that we strongly feel the 'one-way' proposal should not go ahead. In the morning during rush hour the one way road will create traffic to build up on The Grove and Grove Avenue. This will cause further traffic to build up on Popes Drive – Albert Place leading to a situation that will be beyond control.	We recommend that you paint double Yellow line on Nether Street so traffic can flow easily and to open Gordon Road to allow the flow the traffic. A lot of traffic currently builds up in the morning on the small roundabout on Nether Street. By opening up Gordon Road for cars only, the public will be able to use an alternative route and avoid the roundabout to travel to work.
03/04/2014		1			We agree with the proposed experimental traffic system as planned and believe it will both control traffic flow and reduce the speed of traffic approaching the proposed new mini-roundabout from Grove Avenue. The previous table calming measure was removed a few years ago	In the morning traffic starts to build up from 7.30 a.m. in Grove Avenue with traffic both diverting from Ballards Lane and arriving from Wentworth Avenue. In the evening the build up starts from 5 p.m. and extends over a longer period and therefore less congestion occurs. Recommendation - to introduce a 20 mph speed limit on all the surrounding roads interconnecting with Ballards Lane, those involved in the experimental scheme and particularly to the rear of Tescos.
12/04/2014				1		Putting up a sign saying Beware, Children or something similar at The Grove / Grove Avenue. This would be especially relevant if the trial one way system is introduced. Concil to set up meeting including councillors and residents to debate how the system might work, to propose any objections or possible changes, and in this way to own the scheme rather than feeling it was being imposed on them.
11/04/2014		1			The width of the road especially near the bend is not sufficient. In the last year the traffic in our road seems to have increased and people refuse to give way.	
14/04/2014				1	This will result in adding to the morning congestion along Ballards Lane (and thus more drivers seeking a faster route) and the junction of Nether Street and Dollis Road.	congestion I have noticed on the lower end of The Grove to Nether Street junction, is caused by the number of cars parked on both sides of the road with few places to pull in to pass. Why not perhaps place some double yellow "no parking at any time" markings on the westerly side of the road where it is most narrow on the small bend about 100m from the junction and extending for about 80m towards Grove Avenue. The only suggestion I can offer if you do go ahead with the suggested scheme would be to take out the bollards at the junction of Gordon Road and Dollis Road and allow some traffic on Nether Street to divert down either Lansdowne, Grosvenor, Eversleigh or Elm Park Roads onto Gordon Road and turn right only for Holders Hill Circus.
13/04/2014			1		it is very congested, unfortunately I think the one way system will make this problem even worse. Unfortunately your drawings do not extend to the road where the tesco car park is and I believe this is the problem area. As I'm sure you know that is already a one way system and already very congested in the mornings. I believe by introducing the one way system as planned this will only make that problem worse as it will direct even more cars there as there will be no other option.	